



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,057		10/12/2004	Hubert Baumgart	PAT-00293	2264
26922	7590	01/19/2006		EXAMINER	
BASF COF			CHEUNG, WILLIAM K		
ANNE GERRY SABOURIN 26701 TELEGRAPH ROAD				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SOUTHFIE	LD, MI	48034-2442	1713		
				DATE MAILED: 01/19/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/511,057	BAUMGART ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	William K. Cheung	1713
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the divided will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) The 3 Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the state of the st	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are mithdrest is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicationity documents have been receiveau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	_	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 101204. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/511,057 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. In view of amendment filed November 14, 2005, the objection of Claim 5 is withdrawn. Further, the objection of claim 19 is withdrawn.
- 2. In view of Terminal Disclaimer filed November 14, 2005, the provisionally rejection of Claim1-6 and 8-19 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-18 of copending Application No. 10/473,730, is withdrawn. Further, the rejection Claim 7 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 3-4 of copending Application No. 10/473,730, is withdrawn.
- 3. In view of argument filed November 14, 2005, the rejection of Claims 1, 4-9, 13-14 and 16-19 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barancyk et al. (US Patent 6,111,001) in view of Melamed (US Patent 2,847,399), is withdrawn. The rejection of Claims 2 and 10 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barancyk et al. (US Patent 6,111,001) in view of Melamed (US Patent 2,847,399) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Piestert (US Patent 5,264,486), is withdrawn. The rejection of Claims 3 and 11 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barancyk et al. (US Patent 6,111,001) in view of Melamed (US Patent 2,847,399) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Klemarczyk et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,057 Page 3

Art Unit: 1713

(US Patent 5,679,719), is withdrawn. Further, the rejection of Claims 12 and 15 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barancyk et al. (US Patent 6,111,001) in view of Melamed (US Patent 2,847,399) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Rehfuss et al. (US Patent 5,356,669), is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claim 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 1 12, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for oligomers and polymers containing at least one allophanate group or at least one carbamate group, does not reasonably provide enablement for oligomers or polymers containing at least one allophanate group and at least one carbamate group. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Claim 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 1 12, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for preparing a urea or a urea derivative prepared by reacting amine with at least one polyisocyanate, does not reasonably provide

Application/Control Number: 10/511,057 Page 4

Art Unit: 1713

enablement for preparing a urea or a urea derivative prepared by reacting water with at least one polyisocyanate. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 (line 6-8), the recitation "a urea or a urea derivative prepared by reacting with at least one amine and/or water with at least one polyisocyanate" is considered indefinite. However can a urea or a urea derivative be prepared by reacting water with at least one polyisocyanate?

An improper use of MARKUSH GROUPS is recited in claim 17 (line 2), The term "is one of the group consisting of and ..." is improper. It should be corrected to "is selected from a group consisting of, and" See MPEP 2173.05 (h).

Claim 10 (line 2) the recitation "modified" is considered indefinite because it is not defined in the specification. What do you mean by that?

Claim 12 (line 3), claim 13 (line 3), claim 14 (line 1), the recitations "complementary" are considered indefinite because the recited term is not defined in the specification. What does "complementary" mean in reference to allophanate groups and carbamate groups?

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William K. Cheung whose telephone number is (571) 272-1097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00AM to 2:00PM; 4:00PM to 8:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David WU can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,057

Art Unit: 1713

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William K. Cheung, Ph. D.

Primary Examiner

January 13, 2006

WILLIAM K CHELING

Page 6